

1300 COMMERCE BUILDING / P. O. BOX 1740 / ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30301

MEMORANDUM

To:

Cecil Alexander Duane Beck Brad Curry, Jr. James Furniss Don Gareis Collier Gladin Richard Hicks Boisfeuillet Jones James Parham Dan Sweat John C. Wilson

At the most recent meeting of the Human Resources Development Group, Dan Sweat and I were charged with the responsibility of taking our "employment" slide presentation in tow, seeking the best possible use of it in weeks to come, and coordinating details of using the presentation in the Regional Action Conference on Expanding Employment Opportunities, scheduled here December 13.

Each of you has been kept informed of developments on the conference, thanks to communications from Dan. You are aware that several, if not all, of us will be taking roles of narrators and moderators during workshop sessions of the conference. As we agreed, this opportunity should serve a dual purpose: to provide a meaningful program for the conference, and to allow us additional critique of our product and ideas for its future use.

This communication deals mainly with another implied responsibility which we accepted with the others: That of getting the production physically ready for use in the workshops.

By this time, you probably have received from Dan a letter and a copy of the script, as revised. Considerable thought and effort have gone into the revision, and I hope the end result justifies the means. However, I would not presume to railroad anything through, without first submitting it to the Group.

The revisions, for the most part, are of the tightening kind: "Judicious editing," as I prefer to call it. Narrative time is shorter by some three to five minutes, even though several pertinent new thoughts have been added. Several new slides have been added to reduce prolonged exposure of some original slides. Some inaccuracies (which we had recognized) and at least one erroneous implication (which we hadn't previously spotted) have been corrected or rephrased.

Memorandum Page 2

No wanton liberties have been taken with the basic document, but I urge every member of the Group to review the changes. For your convenience in such a review, I am enclosing a summary of the significant points of departure from the original script, with a reason or explanation in support of each change of more than very minor nature.

This may be much ado about nothing. I personally believe you will approve of the final result. But I earnestly request that you call me at your earliest convenience to discuss any point on which there remains a shred of doubt or a particle of disagreement.

Respectfully submitted,

Curtis H. Driskell

Metropolitan Affairs Director

CHD/rnb

December 7, 1967