## NALL, MILLER, CADENHEAD & DENNIS

## ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2400 NATIONAL BANK OF GEORGIA BUILDING
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

August 21, 1969

COUNSEL

A. WALTON NALL
MORTYN K. ZIETZ
HAMILTON DOUGLAS

(404) 522-2200

Mr. Dan E. Sweat, Jr. Office of the Mayor City Hall Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: Interpretation of Section 114

of Atlanta Plumbing Code

Dear Mr. Sweat:

A. PAUL CADENHEAD DOUGLAS DENNIS

ROBERT E. CORRY, JR. GERALD A. FRIEDLANDER

JAMES W. DORSEY

DONALD M. FAIN THEODORE G. FRANKEL MICHAEL D. ALEMBIK

DENNIS J. WEBB THOMAS S. CARLOCK BAXTER L. DAVIS PRICE S. WILLIAMS, JR. JON O. FULLERTON

LOWELL S. FINE

On August 15, 1969, when I discussed with you the problem of the interpretation of Section 114 of the Plumbing Code, I stated that the Section was enacted in December, 1966, on the recommendation of HUD and that the current interpretation by the office of the Chief Plumbing Inspector which, incidentally, began during the administration of the former Chief Plumbing Inspector, is attributed by Mr. Wylie Mitchell to HUD. See the minutes of meetings of the Plumbing Advisory Board held on March 18 and April 15, 1969, a copy of each of which is enclosed.

Under that interpretation the use of wiped lead stubs is required on all floors except slab on grade. There is a serious question as to whether HUD intended or expected that such an interpretation would be given to Section 114. At your suggestion I have drafted and enclose herewith a letter that you can use to ask HUD for its position on this matter.

Your help in getting this issue cleared up will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Edward S. White

ESW:erm Enclosures