



CITY OF ATLANTA
COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

CITY HALL ■ 68 MITCHELL STREET, S. W. ■ ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303 ■ 522-4463

IVAN ALLEN, JR.
Mayor, City of Atlanta

GEORGE L. ALDRIDGE, JR.
Deputy Mayor

**PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
BOARD OF ALDERMEN**

RODNEY M. COOK,
Chairman
E. GREGORY GRIGGS,
Vice Chairman
ROBERT S. DENNIS
E. A. GILLIAM
CHARLES H. LEFTWICH
J. BEN MOORE
JACK SUMMERS

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

R. EARL LANDERS
Admin. Asst. to the Mayor, Chairman
WYONT B. BEAN
Planning Engineer
HENRY L. BOWDEN
City Attorney
CHARLES L. DAVIS
Comptroller
WILLIAM S. HOWLAND
Executive Director, C.A.C.U.R.
RAY A. NIXON
Chief of Construction
M. B. SATTERFIELD
Exec. Dir., Atlanta Housing Authority
WILLIAM R. WOFFORD
Inspector of Buildings

OTHER MEMBERS

DJANE W. BECK
Exec. Dir., Community Council of Atlanta
GLENN E. BENNETT
Executive Director, A.R.M.P.C.
KARL A. BEVINS
Traffic Engineer
JACK C. DELIUS
Parks, General Manager
DR. J. F. HACKNEY
Dir. Pub. Health, Fulton Co.
C. H. HILDEBRAND
Fire Chief
PAUL B. IVEY
Land Agent
JOHN H. JACOBS
Director of Libraries
HERBERT T. JENKINS
Police Chief
ALAN F. KIEPPER
Fulton County Manager
DR. JOHN W. LETSON
Supt. of Schools
PAUL W. MILLER
Chairman, A.F.C.J.P.B.
EMORY C. PARRISH
Asst. State Hwy. Planning Engineer
THOMAS H. ROBERTS
Planning Dir., A.R.M.P.C.
DR. T. O. VINESON
Dir. Pub. Health, DeKalb Co.
PAULL WEIR
Water Works, Gen. Mgr.

**COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STAFF REPORT**

**Subject: Government of the City of Atlanta, Georgia
(A Survey Report 1965) by Public Administration
Service, Chicago, Illinois**

Date: February 21, 1966

Background

In August 1964, the Mayor and Board of Aldermen adopted and approved the submission of an application to the Urban Renewal Administration of the Department of Housing and Urban Development for grant funds under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, to assist in financing the preparation of the Community Improvement Program. A contract between the federal government and the City of Atlanta for the grant funds was approved and executed by the Mayor and Board of Aldermen in December 1964. Several studies are required by virtue of the application and subsequent contract. The enclosed study on governmental administration is one of these required studies.

Commensurate with the approval of the above application, the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Atlanta adopted "A Policy Statement on Community Development" which was to serve as an objective framework for the preparation and development of Atlanta's Community Improvement Program. Section IV of this policy statement enumerated several objectives pertaining to governmental and related activities, namely:

1. "To more clearly define the functions and objectives of the various agencies and governments involved in the urban development process; and, to determine the most effective forms of organization needed to attain these objectives."
2. "To encourage closer cooperation between the agencies and governments engaged in redevelopment activities in this region, including the encouragement of patterns of continuous liaison and the free exchange of information."
3. "To seek adoption within the City government of improved administrative procedures yielding greater efficiency."

In May 1965, the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of the City of Atlanta retained under contract Public Administration Service, a non-profit corporation of Chicago, Illinois, to undertake a broad-scale inventory and evaluation of its system of government. Their examination was also to include recommendations for governmental improvement. Public Administration Service

has proven itself well qualified for this undertaking in that it has achieved international acclaim for its excellence in the field of governmental organization and management studies.

Their report entitled "Government of the City of Atlanta, Georgia (A Survey Report 1965)," is now complete. It is a constructive report aimed at the broad overall functions of City government. The report includes a review of management practices, administrative procedures, personnel and budgeting policies, planning, etc. A projected population to double our current size by 1985 and a commensurate increase in demand for municipal services provided the atmosphere in which this report was undertaken.

Action

In the months immediately ahead the findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report should receive the objective attention, careful scrutiny and evaluation of the citizens of Atlanta and their elected representatives. The future development of the City of Atlanta and the role its government should play may well be governed by the outcome.